NOV 27 2001 BU

Signature
Printed name

Date

Mark S. Bicks

November 27, 2007

NAF

PTO/SB/21 (10-07)
Approved for use through 10/31/2007. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

	TRADE Paperwork Hadiction Act of 1995	no persons	s are required to respond to a co Application Number	09/743.71		unless it displays a valid OMB control number.
TRANSMITTAL			Filing Date			
			First Named Inventor	January 16, 2001		
FORM				Konstantinos POULAKIS		
			Art Unit	1795		
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)			Examiner Name	J. J. Rhee		
Total Number of Pages in This Submission			Attorney Docket Number	41145		
ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)						
	Fee Transmittal Form		Orawing(s)			After Allowance Communication to TC
	Fee Attached	، 🔲 ا	icensing-related Papers			Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences
	Amendment/Reply After Final Affidavits/declaration(s) Extension of Time Request Express Abandonment Request Information Disclosure Statement		Petition Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocatio Change of Correspondence A Ferminal Disclaimer Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s) Landscape Table on CI	Address		Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Proprietary Information Status Letter Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify below):
	Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)	Remar	ks			
Incomplete Application Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53			l Receipt			
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT						
Firm Name Roylance, Abrams, Berdo & Goodman, L.L.P. (Customer No. 01609)						

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below: Signature Typed or printed name

Reg. No.

28,770

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

41145 PE HADS

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

In re Application of:

KONSTANTINOS POULAKIS ET AL.

Art Unit: 1772

Serial No.: 09/743,710

Examiner: J. J. Rhee

Filed: January 16, 2001

For: METHOD FOR PRODUCING A

SHAPED FOAM BODY,

ESPECIALLY A FOAM PADDING:

ELEMENT FOR A VEHICLE SEAT:

Appeal No.

APPELLANT'S SUPPLEMENTAL REPLY BRIEF ON APPEAL UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.193(b)

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In the response to the November 14, 2007 Examiner's Answer and the appeal to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences from the decision dated March 27, 2003 of the Primary Examiner twice rejecting claims 9-19 in connection with the above-identified application, Applicants-Appellants submit the following supplemental reply brief.

A comparison of the August 25, 2003 Examiner's Answer and of the November 14, 2007 Examiner's Answer reveals that the sole difference therebetween is the addition on page 4 of a new ground of rejection of claims 9-18 under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, on the ground that the specification allegedly fails to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventors, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claim 9 recitation of "a

ferromagnetic coating extending throughout the entire length and width of the foam-inhibiting

coating". Except for this addition, the November 14, 2007 Examiner's Answer appears to be

identical to the August 25, 2003 Examiner's Answer.

Such recitation in issue only appears in claim 9, and does not appear in claim 19. The

reference to claim 19 in the added paragraph is incorrect.

This rejection is untenable for the reasons advanced in the Brief on Appeal filed

October 27, 2003 and in the Reply Brief on Appeal filed October 25, 2004 relative to the

objection and rejection under 35 U.S.C. §132 and 35 U.S.C. §112. These reasons are not

repeated to avoid further burdening of the already extensive record in this application, and to

avoid further delay in the prosecution.

In view of the foregoing, and for the reasons set forth in applicants' previously filed Brief on

Appeal and Reply Brief on Appeal, Applicants-Appellants submit that (1) the objection under 35

U.S.C. § 132 to the incorporation by reference and to claim 9, (2) the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §

112, first paragraph, of claims 9-18, and (3) the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 of claims 9-19 are

untenable. Thus, Applicants-Appellants request that that this objection and these rejections be

reversed.

Respectfully Submitted,

May Buls

Mark S. Bicks

Reg. No. 28,770

Roylance, Abrams, Berdo & Goodman, LLP 1300 19th Street, NW, Suite 600

Washington, DC 20036-1649

(202) 659-9076

Dated: November 27, 2007

2